Part B – Please use a separate sheet for each representation

Name or Organisation: Lucas land & Planning

3. To which part of the Local Plan does this representation relate?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Paragraph</th>
<th>Policy</th>
<th>Policy 17</th>
<th>Policies Map</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8.4-8.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. Do you consider the Local Plan is:

- (1) Legally compliant: Yes [ ] No [ ]
- (2) Sound: Yes [ ] No [ ]
- (3) Complies with the Duty to co-operate: Yes [ ] No [ ]

Please tick as appropriate

5. Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.

If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Local Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.

We cannot see the need for settlement boundaries. This tool was used in the 1997 Local Plan to define successive County Structure Plan Policies—that was 22 years ago.

The written text and policy presumptions in the, NPPF, the Part 1 Plan and the Localism Act make this type of designation unnecessary. Local Neighbourhood Plan Groups must be allowed to decide what is appropriate for their communities in the context of needs.

Given that there are no land allocations in the rural area why have any defined settlement boundaries in the rural area at all?

Land adjoining rural communities must be allowed to develop if that development accords with overall policies and village needs. A line on a plan with a negative policy presumption dating back in most cases to 1997 or even before is not appropriate. Development which is sustainable for rural communities must be allowed where there is appropriate evidence.

We note paragraph 8.6 of the Plan and we agree with certain aspects of the text—therefore why have boundaries defined on a plan for the rural communities when a written presumption against open countryside development in an approved Plan.
text would be sufficient

Obviously in any case the approved Part 1 Plan allows development adjoining settlements—therefore why the need for a line on a plan?

Neighbourhood Plans must be allowed to flourish and smaller sites allowed under Paragraph 68 of the NPPF particularly in locations adjoining sustainable rural settlements such as Gretton.

(Continue on a separate sheet / expand box if necessary)

6. Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified at 5 above. (Please note that non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why each modification will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

Remove rural settlement boundaries—these are unnecessary.

(Continue on a separate sheet / expand box if necessary)

Please note In your representation you should provide succinctly all the evidence and supporting information necessary to support your representation and your suggested modification(s). You should not assume that you will have a further opportunity to make submissions.

After this stage, further submissions may only be made if invited by the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he or she identifies for examination.

7. If your representation is seeking a modification to the plan, do you consider it necessary to participate in examination hearing session(s)?

☐ No, I do not wish to participate in hearing session(s) ☑ Yes, I wish to participate in hearing session(s)

Please note that while this will provide an initial indication of your wish to participate in hearing session(s), you may be asked at a later point to confirm your request to participate.
8. If you wish to participate in the hearing session(s), please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

Rural issues need to be debated, they are largely forgotten in this Part 2 Plan.

Please note the Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate in hearing session(s). You may be asked to confirm your wish to participate when the Inspector has identified the matters and issues for examination.

9. Signature: ___________________________ Date: 6.9.19